

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/685,677	10/15/2003	Peter Rohdewald	103265-48964	7043
35437	5437 7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO 666 THIRD AVENUE			STITZEL, DA	AVID PAUL
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/685,677	ROHDEWALD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David P. Stitzel, Esq.	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	December 2003					
·	_ _						
•	·						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	, , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	,	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
الباراا	The ball of declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail 0 8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

OFFICIAL ACTION

Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-6 and 16 are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition and a kit containing said pharmaceutical composition, wherein said pharmaceutical composition comprises: an arginine source; a proanthocyanidin; and a hormone. The aforementioned pharmaceutical composition is classified in class 514, subclass 731.

II. Claims 7-15 are drawn to a method of using a pharmaceutical composition for the treatment of erectile dysfunction, as classified in class 514, subclass 169.

Inventions I and II are related as a product and a method of using said product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the method for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product; or (2) that the product as claimed can be used in another materially different method of using said product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the pharmaceutical composition as claimed in Invention I can be utilized in methods that are materially different from the method claimed in Invention II. For example, as opposed to treating erectile dysfunction, a pharmaceutical composition comprising an arginine source (L-arginine), a proanthocyanidin (procyanidin) and a hormone (sterol), may alternatively be utilized in the treatment of hypertension and cardiovascular disease, as described in the Schmitz Pre-Grant Patent Application US2005/0164956 (abstract; [0080]; and [0097]).

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion to Restriction Requirement

The Examiner has required restriction between product and methods of use claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn methods of use claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Methods of use claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined methods of use claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined methods of use claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and methods of use claims may be maintained. Withdrawn methods of use claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai*, *In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26,

1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the methods of use claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named Inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17(i).

Contact Information

A telephone call was made to Mr. Eric Sinn, Esq. on September 22, 2005, to request an oral election. See, MPEP § 812.01. However, an oral election did not result therefrom, thereby necessitating that the instant written restriction requirement be mailed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David P. Stitzel, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-8508. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 7:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Kunz can be reached at 571-272-0887. The central fax number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,677

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published patent applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished patent applications is only available through Private PAIR. For more information about the PAIR system, please see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions about acquiring access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic Business Center

David P. Stitzel, Esq.

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GEOUP 1600